2013年412B政治Wiki　レポート

名前：＿＿＿＿＿＿＿＿＿＿＿＿＿＿

評価基準　　 ／２１

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 優秀（３） | 良（２） | 要努力(1) |
| Structure | Very well-organized and coherent, a clear progression of ideas, used appropriate transitional elements and cohesive devices effectively. | Well-organized and coherent, a clear progression of ideas, used some transitional elements and cohesive devices. | Not organized and coherent, ideas were not progressed clearly, did not use appropriate transitional elements and cohesive devices. |
| Content [x2]  (synthesis) | Demonstrated engagement with the important issues raised through readings and course-related activities (Wiki discussions, inputs from *kaiwa* partner). The content demonstrated that the student read a lot, synthesized learned content and constructed new knowledge. | Made some reference to issues raised through readings and course-related activities (Wiki discussions, inputs from *kaiwa* partner). Paid little attention to other readings and mostly restated previous personal views or others’ opinions. | Made little or no reference to issues raised through readings and course-related activities (Wiki discussions, inputs from *kaiwa* partner). There is little or no evidence of reading other information in order to form new meaning of the topic at-hand. |
| Content  (cultural analysis) | Expressed own opinions very clearly. Showed clear evidence of learning outcomes of critical thinking on Japanese politics and culture. | Expressed own opinions clearly. Lacked clear evidence of learning outcomes of critical thinking on Japanese politics and culture. | Did not express own opinions clearly. Lacked evidence of learning outcomes of critical thinking on Japanese politics and culture. |
| Language  (vocabulary) | Used a lot of vocabulary and expressions that you learned in this course and/or online discussions. | Used some vocabulary and expressions that you learned in this course and/or online discussions. | Used few vocabulary and expressions that you learned in this course and/or online discussions. |
| Language  (grammar, format) | Language use was very appropriate and accurate. Instructor’s feedback was effectively incorporated in the revision. | Language use was generally appropriate and accurate, with some errors. Instructor’s feedback was not fully incorporated in the revision. | Language use was not appropriate and accurate, thus errors are frequent. Instructor’s feedback was not incorporated in the revision well. |
| Timeliness | Submitted on or before the due (by9:30am) | ---- | Submitted during or after class (after 10:50am) |